Learning Objective

Understand how QI activities in QTIP practices impact overall state performance measures.
Conceptual Framework for Evaluation

CHIPRA
- Measures
- HIT/HIE
- Integrated BH
- PCMH

Learning Collaborative
- Definition
- Evidence-based strategies for practice change

QTIP Practices
QI Teams
PDSA Cycles

IT CareEv
Medical Director TA
Truven Reports
Behavioral Health
Academic Designing

Improved Outcomes
QI Practice Change
Behavioral Intervention
PCMH Certification

SYSTEM CHANGE
INITIAL RESULTS

QTIP PRACTICES, COMPARISON PRACTICES AND STATE MEDICAID
Child Ambulatory Care ED Visits
(Ages Birth – 19 Years) -- Lower rates are better

Note: In 2010, the CHIP participants averaged 2.8 visits per child.
Percent with Adolescent Well Care Visits (Ages 12 – 21 Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Comp</th>
<th>QTIP</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CY2010</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY2011</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent with Well Child Visits
(Ages 3, 4, 5, and 6 Years)
Percent of Children with Well Child Visits
(First 15 Months - 6 Visits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>QTIP</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CY2009</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY2010</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY2011</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMPARED TO NATIONAL PERCENTILES
QTIP, Comparison, and SC Medicaid CY2011 Rates Compared with National Medicaid Percentiles Of 19 Reported Measures
Moving in the Right Direction

- As a group, QTIP Practice results continue to show . . .
  - improvement on most measures that have been presented at the Learning Collaborative meetings
  - significant variability across practices in all areas
Moving in the Right Direction

• All 18 practices have improved in some measures
  o 17 practices reduced ED visits, a trend that continues into CY2012
  o 11 have increased Adolescent Well Visits
  o 12 have increased Developmental Screenings
  o 8 have increased follow-up on new ADHD medication
  o 15 of 18 have increased in preventive dental (e.g., oral health screenings)
What Now?

• Review your data
• Look at your coding
  - BMI
  - Developmental Screening
• Conduct a Service Gap Analysis
  - Well child visits
  - Adolescent well child
  - Work with Managed Care Plans to get reports if you can’t generate yourself
Summary

• QTIP practices continue positive trend

• Need to Maintain progress

• QTIP continues to influence state performance and policy
Questions?

Kathy Mayfield-Smith, MA, MBA
smithkm@sc.edu

ifs.sc.edu/PRMM